



FACULTY OF INDUSTRIAL  
SCIENCES & TECHNOLOGY  
EXAMINER ANSWER SCRIPT  
(FINAL EXAM)  
SEMESTER: I      SESSION:  
2018/2019

Course: APPLIED STATISTICS

Course Code: BUM2413

Prepared By: MOHD KHAIRUL BAZLI BIN MOHD AZIZ

QUESTION 1 (19 MARKS)	
i	The weekly weight of chicks
ii	<p><math>n_1 = 20, s_1 = 2.5, v_1 = 19, n_2 = 17, s_2 = 1.8, v_2 = 16</math></p> <p><b>Step 1: Hypothesis</b></p> <p><math>H_0 : \sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2</math> (Claim)</p> <p><math>H_1 : \sigma_1^2 \neq \sigma_2^2</math></p> <p><b>Step 2:</b></p> <p><math>f_{0.05, 19, 16} = 2.2880</math></p> <p><math>f_{0.05, 16, 19} = 2.2149</math></p> <p>A 90% CI for <math>\frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_2^2} = \left( \frac{2.5^2}{1.8^2} \left( \frac{1}{2.2880} \right), \frac{2.5^2}{1.8^2} (2.2149) \right)</math></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><math>= (0.8431, 4.2726)</math></p> <p><b>Step 3: Decision</b></p> <p>since <math>0.8431 &lt; (\sigma_0^2 = 1) &lt; 4.2726</math>, do not reject <math>H_0</math>.</p> <p><b>Step 4: Conclusion</b></p> <p>At <math>\alpha = 0.1</math>, there is insufficient evidence to reject the claim. The assumption of equal population variances is validated.</p>
iii	<p><math>n_1 = 20, s_1 = 2.5, v_1 = 19, n_2 = 17, s_2 = 1.8, v_2 = 16</math></p> <p><b>Step 1: Hypothesis</b></p> <p><math>H_0 : \mu_1 - \mu_2 \leq 0</math></p> <p><math>H_1 : \mu_1 - \mu_2 &gt; 0</math> (Claim)</p> <p><b>Step 2: Test statistic</b></p> <p><math>s_p = \sqrt{\frac{19(2.5^2) + 16(1.8^2)}{20 + 17 - 2}} = 2.2077</math></p> <p><math>t_{test} = \frac{(62 - 51) - 0}{2.2077 \left( \sqrt{\frac{1}{20} + \frac{1}{17}} \right)} = 15.1040</math></p> <p><b>Step 3: critical value</b></p> <p>critical value : <math>t_{0.1, 35} = 1.3062</math></p>

	<p><b>Step 4: Decision</b> Since <math>(t_{test} = 15.1040) &gt; (t_{0.1,35} = 1.3062)</math>, reject <math>H_0</math>.</p> <p><b>Step 5: Conclusion</b> At <math>\alpha = 0.1</math>, there is sufficient evidence to accept the claim. The egg production is higher with the 20-hour per day as compared to a 24-hour per day.</p>
<b>QUESTION 2 (10 MARKS)</b>	
i	Ratio of two population variances/variances
ii	<p><b>Step 1: Hypothesis</b>  <math>H_0 : \sigma_X^2 \geq \sigma_Y^2</math>  <math>H_1 : \sigma_X^2 &lt; \sigma_Y^2</math> (claim : risk stock X is less than stock Y)</p> <p><b>Step 2:</b>  <math>P</math> - value = 0.0229</p> <p><b>Step 3: Decision</b>  since <math>(P\text{-value} = 0.0229) &lt; (\alpha = 0.05)</math>, reject <math>H_0</math>.</p> <p><b>Step 4: Conclusion</b>  At <math>\alpha = 0.1</math>, there is sufficient evidence to support the claim. Stock X provides less risk as compared to Stock Y.</p>
iii	Stock X
iv	<p><math>H_0 : \sigma_X^2 \geq \sigma_Y^2</math>  <math>H_1 : \sigma_X^2 &lt; \sigma_Y^2</math> (claim : risk stock X is less than stock Y)  since <math>(P\text{-value} = 0.0229) &lt; (\alpha = 0.05)</math>, reject <math>H_0</math>.  <b>No error</b> since reject <math>H_0</math> when <math>H_0</math> is not true.</p>
<b>QUESTION 3 (15 MARKS)</b>	
	<p><math>H_0</math> : There is no interaction effect gender and level of protein consumed  <math>H_1</math> : There is an interaction effect gender and level of protein consumed</p> <p><math>P</math>-value = 0.1555</p> <p>Since <math>(P\text{-value} = 0.1555) &gt; (\alpha = 0.05)</math>, do not reject <math>H_0</math>.</p>

	<p>At <math>\alpha = 0.05</math>, there is no interaction effect between gender and level of protein consumed on teenager's performance during a physical fitness test.</p> <p>Since there is NO interaction effect, proceed with test of row effect and column Effect.</p> <p>Row effect  <math>H_0</math>: There is no effect of gender  <math>H_1</math>: There is an effect of gender  <math>P</math>-value = 0.0004          Since <math>(P\text{-value} = 0.0004) &lt; (\alpha = 0.05)</math>, reject <math>H_0</math>.          At <math>\alpha = 0.05</math>, there is an effect of gender on teenager's performance during a physical fitness test.</p> <p>Column effect  <math>H_0</math>: There is no effect of amount of protein intake  <math>H_1</math>: There is an effect of amount of protein intake  <math>P</math>-value = 0.0088          Since <math>(P\text{-value} = 0.0088) &lt; (\alpha = 0.05)</math>, reject <math>H_0</math>.          At <math>\alpha = 0.05</math>, there is an effect amount of protein intake on teenager's performance during a physical fitness test.</p>
<b>QUESTION 4 (12 MARKS)</b>	
a	The objective of linear regression model is to model the <b>relationship</b> between the variables./ achieve parsimony model
b. i	<p>Independent variable: Pressure</p> <p>Dependent variable: Yield</p>
ii	$\sum x = 7720, \sum x^2 = 3797800, \sum y = 868, \sum y^2 = 57452, \sum xy = 422860$ $\bar{x} = 482.5, \bar{y} = 54.25, \hat{y} = 27.4230 + 0.0556x, se(\hat{\beta}_1) = 0.0997$ <p>If <math>x = 241.25</math>, <math>y = 27.4230 + 0.0556(241.25) = 40.8365</math></p> <p>The pressure of 241.25 bars will produce 40.8365 liters of peanut oil.</p>
iii	<p><math>H_0 : \beta_1 = 0</math> or the slope is zero (no linear relationship between x and y)  <math>H_1 : \beta_1 \neq 0</math> or the slope is not zero (there is linear relationship)</p> $t_{test} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1}{se(\hat{\beta}_1)} = \frac{0.0556 - 0}{0.0997} = 0.5577$ <p><math>\alpha = 0.02</math>, <math>t_{0.01,14} = 2.6245</math>, <math>-t_{0.01,14} = -2.6245</math></p> <p>Since <math>t_{0.01,14} = 2.6245 &lt; t_{test} = 0.5577 &lt; -t_{0.01,14} = -2.6245</math>, Do not reject <math>H_0</math></p>

	At $\alpha = 0.02$ , there exist significant relationship between pressure and the peanut oil yield.																																								
<b>QUESTION 5 (20 MARKS)</b>																																									
i	Coefficient of determination: Adjusted $R^2$ : 0.9208 92.08% of the variation in the yield can be predicted by the pressure, temperature and particle sizes.																																								
ii	Coefficient of $x_3 = -16.0650$ : when pressure ( $x_1$ ) and temperature ( $x_2$ ) are held constants, the estimated yield will decrease by 16.0650 for each unit of particle size.																																								
iii	$H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0$ $H_1 : \beta_j \neq 0$ for at least one $j=1,2,3$  p-value = 1.8476-E07 or $f_{test} = 59.1169$ , $f_{0.05,3,12} = 3.4903$  Since $(\text{p-value} = 1.8476 - \text{E}07) < (\alpha = 0.05)$ , Reject $H_0$ or Since $f_{test} = 59.1169 > f_{0.05,3,12} = 3.4903$ , Reject $H_0$ At $\alpha = 0.02$ , at least one of the independent variables is related to the dependent variable.																																								
iv	<table><tr><th>Predictor</th><th>P-value</th><th><math>r^2</math></th><th>Adjusted <math>r^2</math></th><th>Regression Model</th></tr><tr><td><math>x_1</math></td><td>0.05860</td><td>0.0217</td><td>-0.0482</td><td><math>\hat{y} = 27.4230 + 0.0556x_1</math></td></tr><tr><td><math>x_2</math></td><td>0.1375</td><td>0.1506</td><td>0.0899</td><td><math>\hat{y} = 37.3214 + 0.2821x_2</math></td></tr><tr><td><math>x_3</math></td><td>9.48984E-06</td><td>0.7644</td><td>0.7475</td><td><math>\hat{y} = 97.0632 - 16.0650x_3</math></td></tr><tr><td><math>x_1, x_2</math></td><td>0.2926</td><td>0.1723</td><td>0.0449</td><td><math>\hat{y} = 10.5159 + 0.0556x_1 + 0.2821x_2</math></td></tr><tr><td><math>x_1, x_3</math></td><td>4.4343E-05</td><td>0.7861</td><td>0.7532</td><td><math>\hat{y} = 70.2576 + 0.0556x_1 - 16.0650x_3</math></td></tr><tr><td><math>x_2, x_3</math></td><td>1.1069E-07</td><td>0.9149</td><td>0.9018</td><td><math>\hat{y} = 80.1346 + 0.2821x_2 - 16.0650x_3</math></td></tr><tr><td><math>x_1, x_2, x_3</math></td><td>1.84761E-07</td><td>0.9366</td><td>0.9208</td><td><math>\hat{y} = 53.3290 + 0.0556x_1 + 0.2821x_2 - 16.0650x_3</math></td></tr></table> The best multiple linear regression model is $\hat{y} = 97.0632 - 16.0650x_3$ with value P-value = 9.48984E-06 and $r^2 = 0.7644$ Pressure and temperature are not significant variables since P-values $> \alpha = 0.05$ .	Predictor	P-value	$r^2$	Adjusted $r^2$	Regression Model	$x_1$	0.05860	0.0217	-0.0482	$\hat{y} = 27.4230 + 0.0556x_1$	$x_2$	0.1375	0.1506	0.0899	$\hat{y} = 37.3214 + 0.2821x_2$	$x_3$	9.48984E-06	0.7644	0.7475	$\hat{y} = 97.0632 - 16.0650x_3$	$x_1, x_2$	0.2926	0.1723	0.0449	$\hat{y} = 10.5159 + 0.0556x_1 + 0.2821x_2$	$x_1, x_3$	4.4343E-05	0.7861	0.7532	$\hat{y} = 70.2576 + 0.0556x_1 - 16.0650x_3$	$x_2, x_3$	1.1069E-07	0.9149	0.9018	$\hat{y} = 80.1346 + 0.2821x_2 - 16.0650x_3$	$x_1, x_2, x_3$	1.84761E-07	0.9366	0.9208	$\hat{y} = 53.3290 + 0.0556x_1 + 0.2821x_2 - 16.0650x_3$
Predictor	P-value	$r^2$	Adjusted $r^2$	Regression Model																																					
$x_1$	0.05860	0.0217	-0.0482	$\hat{y} = 27.4230 + 0.0556x_1$																																					
$x_2$	0.1375	0.1506	0.0899	$\hat{y} = 37.3214 + 0.2821x_2$																																					
$x_3$	9.48984E-06	0.7644	0.7475	$\hat{y} = 97.0632 - 16.0650x_3$																																					
$x_1, x_2$	0.2926	0.1723	0.0449	$\hat{y} = 10.5159 + 0.0556x_1 + 0.2821x_2$																																					
$x_1, x_3$	4.4343E-05	0.7861	0.7532	$\hat{y} = 70.2576 + 0.0556x_1 - 16.0650x_3$																																					
$x_2, x_3$	1.1069E-07	0.9149	0.9018	$\hat{y} = 80.1346 + 0.2821x_2 - 16.0650x_3$																																					
$x_1, x_2, x_3$	1.84761E-07	0.9366	0.9208	$\hat{y} = 53.3290 + 0.0556x_1 + 0.2821x_2 - 16.0650x_3$																																					
v	$x_1 = 400$ , $x_2 = 60$ , $x_3 = 2.28$ $\hat{y} = 97.0632 - 16.0650(2.28) = 60.4350$ liter																																								

**QUESTION 6 (13 MARKS)**

- i Any acceptable answer, Students prefer amusement park.
- ii  $H_0$ : There is no preference on the type of field trip  
 $H_1$ : There is a preference on the type of field trip (Claim) (B1)

**Test Statistic:**

$x_i$	$O_i$	$P_i$	$E_i = nP_i$	$\frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$
Sporting event	35	0.25	100(0.25)=25	$\frac{(35 - 25)^2}{25} = 4$
Play at the local college	5	0.25	25	16
Science museum visit	10	0.25	25	9
Play at amusements parks	50	0.25	25	25
				$\chi^2_{test} = 54$

**Critical Value:**  $\chi^2_{0.025,3} = 9.3484$ **Decision:** Compare: Since  $(\chi^2_{test} = 54) > (\chi^2_{0.025,3} = 9.3484)$ , reject  $H_0$   
(M1A1)**Conclusion:**

At 2.5% significance level, there is a preference on the type of field trip.

- iii  $\chi^2_{test}$  small, Observed and expected values closed to each other, decision do not reject null hypothesis

- iv No.

**QUESTION 7 (11 MARKS)** $H_0$ : Families and singles have the same distribution of cars. (claim) $H_1$ : families and singles do not have the same distribution of cars.

	Sport	Sedan	Hatchback	Truck	Van/SUV
Family	5	15	35	17	28
Single	45	65	37	46	7
5	15	35	17	28	100
45	65	37	46	7	200
50	80	72	63	35	300

$O_{ij}$	$E_{ij} = \frac{n_{i.} \times n_{.j}}{n_{..}}$	$\frac{(O_{ij} - E_{ij})^2}{E_{ij}}$
$O_{11} = 5$	$E_{11} = \frac{100 \times 50}{300} = 16.6667$	$\frac{(5 - 16.6667)^2}{16.6667} = 8.1667$
$O_{12} = 15$	$E_{12} = \frac{100 \times 80}{300} = 26.6667$	$\frac{(15 - 26.6667)^2}{26.667} = 5.1042$
$O_{13} = 35$	$E_{13} = \frac{100 \times 72}{300} = 24$	$\frac{(35 - 24)^2}{24} = 5.0417$
$O_{14} = 17$	$E_{14} = \frac{100 \times 63}{300} = 21$	$\frac{(17 - 21)^2}{21} = 0.7619$
$O_{15} = 28$	$E_{21} = \frac{100 \times 35}{300} = 11.6667$	$\frac{(28 - 11.6667)^2}{11.6667} = 22.8665$
$O_{21} = 45$	$E_{21} = \frac{200 \times 50}{300} = 33.3333$	$\frac{(45 - 33.3333)^2}{33.3333} = 4.0834$
$O_{22} = 65$	$E_{21} = \frac{200 \times 80}{300} = 53.3333$	$\frac{(65 - 53.3333)^2}{53.3333} = 2.5521$
$O_{23} = 37$	$E_{23} = \frac{200 \times 72}{300} = 48$	$\frac{(37 - 48)^2}{48} = 2.5208$
$O_{24} = 46$	$E_{24} = \frac{200 \times 63}{300} = 42$	$\frac{(46 - 42)^2}{42} = 0.3810$
$O_{25} = 7$	$E_{21} = \frac{200 \times 35}{300} = 23.3333$	$\frac{(7 - 23.3333)^2}{23.3333} = 11.4333$
$\chi^2_{test} = \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^c \frac{(O_{ij} - E_{ij})^2}{E_{ij}} = 62.9116$		
$\chi^2_{critical} = \chi^2_{\alpha, (r-1)(c-1)}$ $= \chi^2_{0.005, (2-1)(5-1)}$ $= \chi^2_{0.005, 4}$ $= 14.8603$		

Since  $(\chi^2_{test} = 62.9116) > (\chi^2_{critical} = 14.8603)$ , then, we reject  $H_0$ . (M1A1)

Hence, there is sufficient evidence to conclude that families and singles do not have the same distribution of cars at  $\alpha = 0.005$ . (A1)